Filed 07/31/2008

Page 1 of 5

Case 3:08-cr-00918-L Document 39

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27 Government's Notice of Intent to Introduce 28 Summary Expert Witness Testimony and Request for Reciprocal Discovery

Evid. 1006, ½ tax returns and other evidence introduced at trial and explain the tax consequences of the Government's evidence. Specifically, the witness will (1) compute the defendant's business gross receipts; (2) testify regarding the defendant's expenditures; (3) testify regarding the defendant's non-payment of federal income taxes based upon Internal Revenue Service records; (4) testify as to income tax consequences of under-reporting business income; and (5) testify as to income tax consequences of claiming false deductions on federal income tax returns. Mr. Ramirez's resume is attached.

The Federal Rules of Evidence provide that an expert witness may testify "if . . . other specialized knowledge will assist the trier of fact to understand the evidence or to determine a fact in issue " Fed.R. Evid. 702. Trial courts consistently have approved the use of an expert witness in criminal tax prosecutions. See United States v. Marchini, 797 F.2d 759, 766 (9th Cir. 1986); United States v. Mikutowicz, 365 F3d. 65, 72 (1st Cir. 2004); United States v. Tarwater, 308 F.3d 494, 512-14 (6th Cir. 2002); United States v. Windfelder, 790 F.2d 576, 581 (7th Cir. 1986). Expert testimony by an I.R.S. agent that expresses an opinion as to the proper tax consequence of a transaction is admissible evidence. United States v. Clardy, 612 F.2d 1139, 1153 (9th Cir. 1980).

Through the expert witness, the Government will offer summary schedules and income and tax computations prepared based upon the evidence adduced at trial. The schedules will be prepared based on evidence that has been admitted at trial, including the Rule 1006 summaries of bank account records described above. The use of such summary schedules and charts has been approved by the Ninth Circuit. <u>United States v. Soulard</u>, 730 F.2d 1292, 1300 (9th Cir. 1984); <u>United States v.</u> Gardner, 611 F.2d 770, 776 (9th Cir. 1980).

The summary schedules and income and tax computations, because they will reflect evidence that is admitted at trial, by necessity will not be finalized until shortly before the summary witness's testimony. Draft schedules which reflect the income and tax consequences of the evidence the

½The Government has given notice to the defendant in a separate pleading of its intent to introduce summaries of voluminous bank records in lieu of introducing the bank records themselves pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 1006.

Government anticipates will be admitted will be provided to the defendant well in advance of trial; finalized copies of these schedules will be provided to the Court and defense counsel shortly before the expert witness's testimony.

The Government intends to move the Court to permit the Government to introduce summary schedules of bank account records in lieu of introducing the underlying bank account records pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 1006. Those summaries and other underlying documentary evidence used in creating the ultimate summary schedules and computations for trial will be marked as evidence.

GOVERNMENT'S REQUEST FOR RECIPROCAL DISCOVERY

Pursuant to Rule 16(b)(1)(C) and the expert disclosures provided herein, the government requests the disclosure of the defendants' experts, if any, including a written summary of the experts' testimony.

CONCLUSION

The Government hereby provides notice of the name, curricula vitae, and subject matter of the Government's expert witness's testimony to the defendant. Draft schedules, which explain the witness's conclusions as to the probable tax consequences of the evidence the Government anticipates will be admitted into evidence and the bases of those conclusions will be provided to the defendant well in advance of trial.

The Government reserves the right to seek the Court's permission for offer of additional experts and to amend any draft summary schedule provided to the defense in advance of trial based upon the evidence admitted at trial. The Government also requests the Court order the defendant to disclose any defense expert witnesses consistent with Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(b)(1)(C).

DATED: July 31, 2008

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

27

28

Government's Notice of Intent to Introduce Summary Expert Witness Testimony and Request for Reciprocal Discovery

/s/ Christopher S. Strauss

Christopher S. Strauss

Special Assistant United States Attorney Attorney for Plaintiff

United States of America

Email: <u>Christopher.S.Strauss@usdoj.gov</u>

Filed 07/31/2008

Page 4 of 5

Case 3:08-cr-00918-L Document 39

1	I have caused service of GOVERNMENT'S NOTICE OF INTENT TO INTRODUCE
2	SUMMARY EXPERT WITNESS TESTIMONY AND REQUEST FOR RECIPROCAL DISCOVERY
3	on defendant's standby counsel by electronically filing the foregoing with the Clerk of the District Court
4	using its ECF System, which electronically notifies them:
5	
6	Erica Kristine Zunkel Erica zunkel@fd.org Erica zunkel@fd.org
7	Federal Defenders of San Diego 225 Broadway, Suite 900 San Diego, CA 92101
8	I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.
9	Executed on July 31, 2008.
10	Executed off July 31, 2008.
11	/s/ Christopher S. Strauss
12	/ <u>s/ Christopher S. Strauss</u> CHRISTOPHER S. STRAUSS Special Assistant United States Attorney
13	Special Assistant Office States Attorney
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

Case 3:08-cr-00918-L Document 39 Filed 07/31/2008 Page 5 of 5